

<p><u>Applications.</u></p> <p>Application No. 10/00328/FUL. Replacement dwelling with new vehicular access. Location: 42 Well Lane, Stock. Applicant: Mr R. Moggan. The Parish commented that they continue to object to this application. The new plans will increase the bulk of the building. The inaccuracy of the site plans makes it difficult to assess the true implication of the new building on the existing bungalows.</p> <p>The Parish Council are concerned with the position of the external chimney and windows and doorways on the side of the proposed property. The impact of these could be alleviated if the plans were amended to site the new building slightly further back from the proposed so that it does not clash with the neighbouring entrance doors and would reduce noise levels to the adjoining properties.</p>	<p>CLERK</p>
<p>Application No. 10/00465/FUL. Retrospective application for a single storey rear extension. Location: 6 Thornton Place, Stock. Applicant: Mr A. O’Boyle. The Parish Council commented that they do not support retrospective applications. The Parish Council have no comments to make and leave it to the Planning Officers to determine the merits of the application.</p>	<p>CLERK</p>
<p>Application No. 10/00278/FUL. Change of use of open space to communal garden for 1 – 4 Fosters Close. Location: Greensward Adjacent 1 – 4 Fosters Close, Stock. Applicant: Mr James Cornelius – Moat Homes Limited The Chairman advised that an E-mail had been received from Miss Marple of Stock Road asking the Parish Council to explain at the next meeting why it is hell bent on removing a few conifers, is it the job of the parish to pursue the removal of harmless plants when the parish could do more to improve the village and concentrate on tidying up the grass verges, flowerbeds and roads. The Chairman had offered to visit Miss Marple or alternatively invited her to attend the Parish Council meeting but he had not heard back from her. Cllr. Grundy had received objections from residents of Fosters Close. It was reported that the trees should have been removed in October 2008. Nobody in Fosters Close had received the note from Mr Ward about the change of use that he stated he had sent to all residents. On the application form Mr Ward had stated that work had not started but it was reported that the hedging has already been planted. The Parish Council supported the views of the majority of the residents of Fosters Close who are opposed to the screening off of this portion of the land. The land is within the Green Belt. The hedgerow would be detrimental to the openness of the area and would impair safety of vehicle movements on the corner of this development.</p> <p>The land is amenity open space for the pleasure/convenience of all and not the few. This change of use should be rejected as the consequences of the change would affect the rural character of the area.</p>	<p>CLERK</p>
<p>Application No. 10/00502/ETL. Extension of time limit to permission ref. 07/01058/FUL for a personal stable and tack room. Location: Fairfields, Marigold Lane, Stock. Applicant: Mr Keith Squibb. The Parish Council commented that they continue to object to this application. Please see the previous comments the Parish Council submitted on 02/01852/FUL and 07/01058/FUL.</p>	<p>CLERK</p>
<p>Application No. 10/00479/FUL. Demolition of existing garage and replace with two storey side extension. Location: Little Pipers, 49 High Street, Stock. Applicant: Mr & Mrs J. Hawkes. Cllr. Hawkes declared a prejudicial interest and left the room. The Parish Council had no comments to make.</p>	<p>CLERK</p>

